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**DISCLAIMER/INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE**

Medical Policy provides general guidance for applying Blue Cross of Idaho benefit plans (for purposes of Medical Policy, the terms “benefit plan” and “member contract” are used interchangeably). Coverage decisions must reference the member specific benefit plan document. The terms of the member specific benefit plan document may be different than the standard benefit plan upon which this Medical Policy is based. If there is a conflict between a member specific benefit plan and the Blue Cross of Idaho’s standard benefit plan, the member specific benefit plan supersedes this Medical Policy. Any person applying this Medical Policy must identify member eligibility, the member specific benefit plan, and any related policies or guidelines prior to applying this Medical Policy. Blue Cross of Idaho Medical Policies are designed for informational purposes only and are not an authorization, explanation of benefits or a contract. Receipt of benefits is subject to satisfaction of all terms and conditions of the member specific benefit plan coverage. Blue Cross of Idaho reserves the sole discretionary right to modify all its Policies and Guidelines at any time. This Medical Policy does not constitute medical advice.

**POLICY**

Eyelid thermal pulsation therapy to treat dry eye syndrome is considered **investigational**.

**POLICY GUIDELINES**

There is a CPT category III code specific to this treatment:

0207T: Evacuation of meibomian glands, automated, using heat and intermittent pressure, unilateral.

There is also a CPT category III code for tear film imaging (eg, LipiView Ocular Surface Interferometer), which is being marketed for use with this treatment:

0330T: Tear film imaging, unilateral or bilateral, with interpretation and report.

Category III code 0507T Near-infrared dual imaging (ie, simultaneous reflective and trans-illuminated light of meibomian glands, unilateral or bilateral, with interpretation and report" was effective 7/1/18.

This service may be used in conjunction with the LipiScan Thermal Pulsation System.

**BENEFIT APPLICATION**

**BLUECARD/NATIONAL ACCOUNT ISSUES**

State or federal mandates (eg, FEP) may dictate that certain U.S. Food and Drug Administration–approved devices, drugs, or biologics may not be considered investigational, and thus these devices may be assessed only on the basis of their medical necessity.

**BACKGROUND**

Dry Eye Syndrome
Dry eye syndrome (DES), dry eye disease, or dysfunctional tear syndrome, either alone or in combination with other conditions, is a frequent cause of ocular irritation that leads patients to seek ophthalmologic care. DES is considered a significant public health problem. It is estimated to affect between 14% and 33% of the population worldwide. The prevalence of DES increases with age, especially in postmenopausal women. It is estimated that DES affects more than 7 million Americans older than 40 years of age, and approximately 1 to 4.3 million Americans between 65 and 84 years of age. Prevention and treatment of DES are expected to be of greater importance as the population ages.

Treatment

Current treatment options for Meibomian gland dysfunction include physical expression to relieve the obstruction, administration of heat (warm compresses) to the eyelids to liquefy solidified meibomian gland contents, eyelid scrubs to relieve external meibomian gland orifice blockage, and medications (eg, antibiotics, topical corticosteroids) to mitigate infection and inflammation of the eyelids. These treatment options, however, have shown limited clinical efficacy. For example, physical expression can be very painful given the amount of force needed to express obstructed glands. Warm compress therapy can be time-consuming and labor intensive, and there is limited evidence that medications relieve MGD. While the symptoms of DES often improve with treatment, the disease usually is not curable and may lead to substantial patient and physician frustration. Dry eyes can be a cause of visual morbidity and may compromise results of corneal, cataract, and refractive surgery. Inadequate treatment of DES may result in increased ocular discomfort, blurred vision, reduced quality of life, and decreased productivity.

Regulatory Status

In 2011, the LipiFlow® Thermal Pulsation System (TearScience; assigned the generic name of eyelid thermal pulsation system) was cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FDA classified the LipiFlow® System as class II (special controls) to provide a “reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness” of the device. The LipiFlow® System was identified by FDA “as an electrically powered device intended for use in the application of localized heat and pressure therapy to the eyelids. The device is used in adult patients with chronic cystic conditions of the eyelids, including meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD), also known as evaporative dry eye or lipid deficiency dry eye.” FDA product code: ORZ.

RATIONALE

This evidence review was created in February 2013 and has been updated regularly with searches of the MEDLINE database. The most recent literature update was performed through February 26, 2019.

Evidence reviews assess the clinical evidence to determine whether the use of a technology improves the net health outcome. Broadly defined, health outcomes are length of life, quality of life, and ability to function-including benefits and harms. Every clinical condition has specific outcomes that are important to patients and to managing the course of that condition. Validated outcome measures are necessary to ascertain whether a condition improves or worsens; and whether the magnitude of that change is clinically significant. The net health outcome is a balance of benefits and harms.

To assess whether the evidence is sufficient to draw conclusions about the net health outcome of a technology, 2 domains are examined: the relevance and the quality and credibility. To be relevant, studies must represent one or more intended clinical use of the technology in the intended population and compare an effective and appropriate alternative at a comparable intensity. For some conditions, the alternative will be supportive care or surveillance. The quality and credibility of the evidence depend on study design and conduct, minimizing bias and confounding that can generate incorrect findings.
randomized controlled trial (RCT) is preferred to assess efficacy; however, in some circumstances, nonrandomized studies may be adequate. RCTs are rarely large enough or long enough to capture less common adverse events and long-term effects. Other types of studies can be used for these purposes and to assess generalizability to broader clinical populations and settings of clinical practice.

**Dry Eye Syndrome**

**Clinical Context and Purpose**

The purpose of eyelid thermal pulsation in patients who have dry eye syndrome (DES) is to provide a treatment option that is an alternative to or an improvement on existing therapies.

The question addressed in this evidence review is; does the use of eyelid thermal pulsation in patients who have DES improve net health outcomes?

The following PICOTS were used to select literature to inform this review.

**Patients**

The relevant population(s) of interest are patients with DES. DES is often classified into the aqueous-deficient subtype or the evaporative subtype, although classification is not mutually exclusive. DES is a multifactorial disease of the ocular surface that may require a combination approach to treatment. Meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD), characterized by changes in gland secretion with or without concomitant gland obstruction, is recognized as the most common cause of evaporative dry eye and may also play a role in aqueous-deficient dry eye.

**Interventions**

The treatment being considered is the use of eyelid thermal pulsation. The LipiFlow Thermal Pulsation System is a device developed to relieve MGD. This device heats the palpebral surfaces of both the upper and lower eyelids, while applying graded pulsatile pressure to the outer eyelid surfaces. The LipiFlow System is composed of a disposable ocular component and a handheld control system. Following application of a topical anesthetic, the heated inner portion of the LipiFlow eyecup is applied to the conjunctival surface of the upper and lower eyelids. The outer portion of the device covers the skin surface of the upper and lower eyelids. The device massages the eyelids with cyclical pressure from the base of the meibomian glands in the direction of the gland orifices, thereby expressing the glands during heating.

**Comparators**

The following practices are currently being used to treat DES; current treatment options for MGD include physical expression to relieve the obstruction, administration of heat (warm compresses) to the eyelids to liquefy solidified meibomian gland contents, eyelid scrubs to relieve external meibomian gland orifice blockage, and medications (eg, antibiotics, topical corticosteroids) to mitigate infection and inflammation of the eyelids.

**Outcomes**

The general outcomes of interest are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes and quality of life.

**Timing**

Follow-up of at least 6 months would be desirable to assess outcomes.

**Setting**
Thermal pulsation is provided in the outpatient setting.

**Study Selection Criteria**

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

a. To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs;

b. In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.

c. To assess longer term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.

Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

Comparative studies of eyelid thermal pulsation for the treatment of dry eye syndrome include 3 RCTs and 1 nonrandomized comparative study of the LipiFlow System (see Table 1). In the multicenter RCT by Lane et al (2012), controls crossed over to treatment after 2 weeks; therefore, only the 2-week follow-up is available (see Table 2). Results at 2 weeks showed statistically significant improvements in the primary and secondary outcome measures. Trial limitations included the short-term follow-up (2 weeks) for the primary comparative outcomes, lack of masking, and lack of intention-to-treat analysis. In addition, the control intervention did not include massage along with the warm compress, which is a common treatment for meibomian gland dysfunction.

An RCT by Finis et al (2014), which reported on outcomes prior to crossover at 3 months, found a significant effect of treatment compared with controls for the primary outcome measure (Ocular Surface Disease Index [OSDI] score), but not for any other outcome measures. The clinical significance of the 11.6-point improvement in OSDI score is unclear because final OSDI scores at 3 months (34.6 for LipiFlow, 40.0 for control) would still be classified as severe dry eye disease.

In a 2-stage multicenter RCT, Blackie et al (2016) evaluated treatment effects of the LipiFlow System for patients with meibomian gland function and dry eye symptoms. The first stage involved the open-label evaluation of treatment effects over the short term. Trialists compared the single, in-office, LipiFlow treatment with conventional treatments consisting of warm compress and eyelid hygiene control therapy, conducted twice daily for 3 months. Significant treatment effects relative to controls were observed for OSDI scores and meibomian gland secretion score (higher scores reflect less dysfunction) (see Table 2). The second stage involved an observational crossover study to evaluate the long-term effects (from 3 to 12 months) of a single session using the LipiFlow System or in combination with other conventional treatments when considered necessary. Sustained treatment effects for the single LipiFlow treatment compared with the combination treatment subgroups were observed over the long-term for OSDI scores, but not for Meibomian glad secretion score. Trial limitations included lack of masking and lack of massage combined with warm compression, the usual treatment approach. The clinical significance of the 17- to 22-point improvement in OSDI scores observed across treatment and controls may be relatively small because final OSDI scores indicated that patients in both groups improved from severe disease to mild disease (treatment) or moderate disease (controls). The lack of blinding might also have led to an overestimation of the treatment effect of LipiFlow.

The nonrandomized trial by Zhao et al (2016) compared 25 patients undergoing a single LipiFlow treatment with 25 patients using warm compresses and lid massage. At 4 and 12 weeks, between-group outcomes were similar for symptom change, change in meibomian gland force evaluator, and tear break-up time. At 12 weeks, change in Schirmer test scores also did not differ significantly between groups.
Three other studies have evaluated long-term outcomes for some trial subjects who had undergone LipiFlow treatment. The study by Greiner (2013) evaluated 18 of 30 subjects from 1 site of the Lane trial (described above). Several outcomes remained significantly improved from baseline, but the improvements were of lower magnitude at 1 year than at 1 month. Finis et al (2014) evaluated 26 patients at 6 months after LipiFlow treatment. Several outcome measures remained improved 6 months after treatment. Another study of 20 patients conducted by Greiner (2016) found that most outcomes remained significantly improved up to 3 years relative to baseline.

Table 1. Summary of Key Characteristics of Comparative Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 2. Summary of Key Results of Comparative Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>ΔMGS Score</th>
<th>ΔTBUT, s</th>
<th>ΔOSDI Score</th>
<th>ΔSPEED Score</th>
<th>Symptom Score, %</th>
<th>ΔSchirmer Test, mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane et al (2012)</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finis et al (2014)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao et al (2016)</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>-30.5%</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>-15.9%</td>
<td>-3.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackie et al (2016)</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>-23.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>-17.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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p <0.001 0.007
MGS: meibomian gland secretion; NR: not reported; OSDI: Ocular Surface Disease Index; SPEED: Standard Patient Evaluation for Eye Dryness; TBUT: tear break-up time.

a The Meibomian Gland Evaluator device was developed by TearScience to evaluate gland secretion through gland expression to determine if meibomian glands are blocked.

b Practice parameters from the American Academy of Ophthalmology (2013) has indicated that a tear break-up time of <10 s is considered abnormal. Note that Zhao et al (2016) is reported in percent not seconds.

c The OSDI assesses the patient’s frequency and severity of dry eye symptoms in specific contexts during the week prior to the examination. The minimal clinically important difference for the OSDI ranges from 4.5-7.3 for mild or moderate disease. The overall OSDI score defines the ocular surface as normal (0-12 points) or as having mild (13-22 points), moderate (23-32 points), or severe (33-100 points) disease.

d The SPEED questionnaire is a self-reported measure of the frequency and severity of dryness, grittiness, scratchiness, soreness, irritation, burning, watering, and eye fatigue within 3 months of examination. It was developed by TearScience and validated in a 2013 study funded by TearScience. In this validation study, the mean SPEED score of symptomatic subjects was 21.0 and the mean of asymptomatic subjects was 6.25.

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have dry eye symptoms consistent with meibomian gland dysfunction who receive eyelid thermal pulsation, the evidence includes 3 RCTs, a nonrandomized comparison study, and longer term follow-up of patients from RCTs and observational studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, and functional outcomes. The trials do not provide strong evidence of long-term efficacy. Two RCTs have demonstrated positive findings for most outcome measures over the short term (up to 3 months). Observational studies have shown sustained treatment effects for most outcomes up to 3 years. The nonrandomized study showed similar outcomes for eyelid thermal pulsation and standard treatment. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

In 2013, the American Academy of Ophthalmology published preferred practice patterns guidelines on dry eye syndrome. A number of treatment options were recommended. The use of thermal pulsation treatment devices was not mentioned.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 3.

Table 3. Summary of Key Trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCT No.</th>
<th>Trial Name</th>
<th>Planned Enrollment</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ongoing</th>
<th>LipiFlow Versus Warm Compresses in Parkinson’s Disease</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>Jan 2020 (suspended)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

NCT: national clinical trial.

Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

ESSENTIAL HEALTH BENEFITS

The Affordable Care Act (ACA) requires fully insured non-grandfathered individual and small group benefit plans to provide coverage for ten categories of Essential Health Benefits (“EHBs”), whether the benefit plans are offered through an Exchange or not. States can define EHBs for their respective state. States vary on how they define the term small group. In Idaho, a small group employer is defined as an employer with at least two but no more than fifty eligible employees on the first day of the plan or contract year, the majority of whom are employed in Idaho. Large group employers, whether they are self-funded or fully insured, are not required to offer EHBs, but may voluntary offer them.

The Affordable Care Act requires any benefit plan offering EHBs to remove all dollar limits for EHBs.
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**CODES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Codes</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>0207T</td>
<td>Evacuation of meibomian glands, automated, using heat and intermittent pressure, unilateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10-CM</td>
<td>H04.121-129</td>
<td>Dry eye syndrome code range</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**POLICY HISTORY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/13/14</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review through February 5, 2014; references 9-12 added; policy statement unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/12/15</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review through January 29, 2015; Rationale revised; references 10-11 added; policy statement unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/10/16</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review through January 29, 2016; reference 8 added. Policy statement unchanged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/11/16</td>
<td>Replace policy – coding update only</td>
<td>ICD-10 section of the Codes table corrected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/21/17</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review through January 25, 2017; references 9 and 13 added. Policy statement unchanged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/29/18</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Blue Cross of Idaho adopted changes to policy as noted. Policy updated with literature review through January 8, 2018; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/21/19</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Blue Cross of Idaho adopted changes as noted, effective 03/21/2019. Policy updated with literature review through February 26, 2019; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>